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## Introduction

Important role for schools in stimulating PA behavior in youth (Naylor \& McKay, 2009, McKenzie \& Lounsberry, 2009):

- Reaches a large number of children and adolescents
- Children and adolescents spend most of their waking hours in school

Ferreira et al (2007):
".....little research has investigated specific features of the school environment that impact on youth physical activity"

## Introduction

## Physical Education (PE)

- Dutch PE lessons provide $1 / 3$ of total daily needed minutes of MVPA, (Slingerland \& Borghouts, EJSS, in press), other countries similar results (Fairclough \& Stratton, 2005)
- Contribution of PE to total PA is unknown


## Active Transport to school (AT)

- Important variable in youth PA (Strong et al., 2005)
- Internationally declining rates of AT (McCann, 2000, Noble et al., 2000)
- No data available on contribution on total PA from objective measurements


## Aims of this study

1. To determine the amount of PA in adolescent boys and girls during a regular week.
2. To determine the contribution of PE and AT to total PA.

## Methods - Actiheart

Physical Activity Guideline: MVPA
Accelerometers / heartrate alone not accurate in determining PA intensity (Welk, 2000)

Combined uni-axial accelerometer + heartrate monitor: Actiheart

Reliable and valid measure of physical activity energy expenditure (PAEE) for youth populations (Corder et al., 2007, Barreira et al., 2009)

Step test calibration to determine individual HR - EE relationship

Data based on 4 weekdays and 2 weekend days
PAEE converted to MVPA: moderate physical activity > 3
 METs

## Methods - Activity Diary



During measurement week, PA recorded in following categories:

- Physical Education
- Active transport (in general / to school)
- Organised and non-organised sports
- Work-related activities


## Study population

Secondary school students (15-17 yrs) randomly selected from 3 large schools in a middle-large city in the south of the Netherlands (pop. 204.929) (Data collection: October 2009-July 2010)

Response rate 55\% ( $\mathrm{n}=82$ ), data 8 participants excluded
Final sample: $\mathrm{n}=74$ ( 38 boys, 36 girls)
All students 100 minutes PE p/wk ( $=1$ lesson p/wk)

|  | All $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{7 4})$ | Boys $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{3 8})$ | Girls ( $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{3 6})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age ( $\mathbf{y r s}$ ) | $15,7(0.83)$ | $15.9(.94)$ | $15.6(.69)$ |
| Height $(\mathbf{m})$ | $1.75(0.1)$ | $1.81(.67)^{* *}$ | $1.68(.72)^{* *}$ |
| Weight (kg) | $65.12(11.0)$ | $67.8(11.4)^{*}$ | $62.2(9.78)^{*}$ |
| BMI (kg/m²) | $21.33(3.3)$ | $20.66(3.06)$ | $22.13(3.49)$ |
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## Results

| Avg. minutes of MVPA per day | All $(\mathrm{n}=74)$ | Boys $(\mathrm{n}=38)$ | Girls $(\mathrm{n}=36)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekday | $114( \pm 54)$ | $123( \pm 62)$ | $105( \pm 42)$ |
| Weekend day | $98( \pm 75)$ | $107( \pm 79)$ | $88( \pm 70)$ |
| Overall | $109( \pm 53)$ | $117( \pm 59)$ | $100( \pm 45)$ |
| Schoolday | $63( \pm 27)$ | $65( \pm 27)$ | $62( \pm 26)$ |

Schoolday = leaving home for school - coming home from school
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## Results - PE

|  | All $(\mathrm{n}=74)$ | Boys $(\mathrm{n}=38)$ | Girls $(\mathrm{n}=36)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MVPA in PE (minutes) | $49( \pm 18)$ | $56( \pm 16)^{* *}$ | $42( \pm 17)^{* *}$ |
| $\%$ of full day MVPA | $12( \pm 7)$ | $14( \pm 8)$ | $11( \pm 6)$ |
| $\%$ of schoolday MVPA | $21( \pm 10)$ | $24 \pm(10)^{*}$ | $18( \pm 9)^{*}$ |
| AT minutes MVPA p/day | $28( \pm 17)$ | $30( \pm 20)$ | $25( \pm 14)$ |
| $\%$ of full day MVPA | $26( \pm 14)$ | $26( \pm 16)$ | $25( \pm 12)$ |
| $\%$ of schoolday MVPA | $43( \pm 17)$ | $46( \pm 19)$ | $41( \pm 14)$ |

PE = physical education, AT = active transport

* $(P<0.05)$ ** $(P<0.01)$ denote statistically significant differences between the sexes
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## Results - Active transport

$96 \%$ of the sample used active transportation to school (boys $95 \%$, girls $97 \%$ )
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## Discussion

- First study that investigated contribution of PE and AT through measurements of PAEE, and provides insight into the order of magnitude of this contribution.
- Both boys and girls surpassed PA guidelines: However, operationalisation is a big issue!
- This study: MVPA > 3 METs

1 min bouts

- What happens with MVPA > 5 METs? 5 min bouts?
(See also de Vries et al., Med Sci Sports Exerc, 2009 (41) 1)
- Additional analyses needed


## Discussion

## Physical Education

- In boys almost 25\% of total MVPA during schooldays originated from PE
- Girls were less active during PE than boys: Is there an effect of the Dutch "competitive games dominated PE curriculum?"
(see also: Kulinna et al. 2003, Laurson et al., 2008, Slingerland \& Borghouts, EJSS, in press)
- Overall 1 PE lesson accounts for $21 \%$ of MVPA

Effective interventions strategies to increase PA:

- more PE lessons per week.......
- optimal use of allocated PE time by intensifying PE lessons without compromising other PE goals.


## Discussion

## Active Transport (AT)

- $96 \%$ of all students active transport to school
- "Born on 2 wheels": Effect of the cycling-friendly infrastructure in the Netherlands

- Stimulating AT on all schooldays can increase MVPA by 43\%

64\% of schoolday MVPA = PE \& AT
PE and AT have great impact on total MVPA in adolescent boys and girls, especially in inactive populations.



[^0]:    *P < . 05 ** P <. 01

